PTO/SB/21 (04-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

nder the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL	Application Number	10/690,886	
	Filing Date	October 22, 2003	
FORM	First Named Inventor	Alan D. Crapo	
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Art Unit	2834	
	Examiner Name	Lam, Thanh	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	3174-000019	

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)							
Fee Transmittal F	om	Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)			
		Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences			
Amendment / Rep	oly	Petition			peal Communication to TC peal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprietary Information			
Affidavits/decl	laration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		Status Letter			
Extension of Time	extension of Time Request		sclaimer	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):			
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund  CD, Number of CD(s)		Issue Fee Transmittal (in duplicate); Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance; and Return			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement				Postcard.			
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposit Account No. 08-0750. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.					
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application				r	,,		
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53							
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT							
Firm <i>or</i> Individual name	Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.		Attorney Name Michael D. Wiggins		Reg. No. 34,754		
Signature	Julil DWag						
Date	August 15, 2005	W)					
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING							

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,

Alexandria, VA 22515-145	O OII the date shown below.		
Typed or printed name	Stephanie Stevens	Express Mail Label No.	EV 570 164 883 US (8/15/2005)
Signature	Transia Strum	Date	August 15, 2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

10/690,886

Filing Date:

October 22, 2003

Applicant:

Alan D. Crapo et al.

**Group Art Unit:** 

2834

Examiner:

Lam, Thanh

Title:

BRUSHLESS PERMANENET MAGNET MOTOR

WITH HIGH POWER DENSITY, LOW COGGING

AND LOW VIBRATION

Attorney Docket:

3174-000019

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear the Examiner's reasons for allowing a claim or claims." 37 C.F.R. 1.104(e). In the present case, Applicant believes the record as a whole makes clear the reasons for allowance and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted, especially since the statement may unfairly focus on certain reasons for allowance which are not reflected by the prosecution history. Therefore, the record should reflect that Applicant does not necessary agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance. For example, while Applicant believes in the claims are allowable, Applicant may not unequivocally agree that patentability

resides solely in the specific feature or combination of features identified, or that each feature or combination of features identified is required for patentability, or that equivalents of any of the recited features are outside the scope of the claims. Moreover, to the extent the reasons for allowance do not separately address the subject matter of all the claims, Applicant does not acquiesce to any inference that the non-addressed claims fail to present other reasons for patentability apart from the patentability of the claims which were specifically addressed by the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: <u>Q</u> 15 09

By:

Michael D. Wiggirs

Reg. No. 34,754

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600